Review / Mini-Review

A Review of Pharmacological and Cell-based Therapies in Spinal Cord Injury

Shiva Amanollahi, Hossein Kazemi Mehrjerdi * 💿

Department of Clinical Sciences, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Mashhad, Iran

Received 15 April 2023

Accepted 26 April 2023

Abstract

Spinal cord injury (SCI) is a severe central nervous system trauma (CNS) that has two primary and secondary phases. The initial phase, which is irreversible, causes nerve tissue destruction and bleeding. Various factors in the second phase together aggravate the primary damage. One of the important factors of the second phase is the cascading of inflammatory factors, which, contribute to the further destruction of nerve tissue. In addition to surgical treatments, drug and cell-based or extracellular vesicles therapy, by modulating the immune system and reducing inflammatory factors at the lesion site, prevent further destruction of nerve tissue and help improve the patient's neurological and motor function. Researchers have provided many chemical and herbal medicines to reduce complications caused by spinal cord injury, many of which are currently being used and are also known as drugs of choice. However, sometimes the long-term use of these drugs causes side effects. Today, the new approach of cell therapy and the use of extracellular vesicles (EVs) is being investigated, which has minimized the side effects of drug treatments and helped to improve the function of nerve cells. Mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs), have a high ability to differentiate into different cells and to modulate the immune system by secreting paracrine factors. But since they cannot cross the blood-brain barrier (BBB), researchers solved this problem by extracting extracellular vesicles (EVs) derived from mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs), which also contain all paracrine factors. In this study, a brief overview of drug treatments, stem cells, and extracellular vesicular therapy in the treatment of spinal cord injury has been discussed.

Keywords: Spinal cord injury, SCI therapies, Stem cell, Extracellular vesicle, Neuroimmunology

Introduction

The largest part of the nervous system is central nervous system (CNS). Brain and spinal cord are two important of CNS. This system has a limited capacity to repair diseases and traumatic injuries. One of the most important CNS injuries is spinal cord injury (SCI). SCI due to natural or driving accidents, falls from a height, and fights, annually lead to a wide range of relative or permanent defects and lack of motor, sensory, and autonomic functions under the damaged area in humans and animals (Feigin et al, 2019). Most of SCI is related to contusion in the spinal cord, such as vertebral dislocation or fracture, can lead to seizures, neuropathic pain, bowel and bladder dysfunction, pressure sores, urinary and stool disorders, and other and cardiovascular complications. respiratory Therefore, spinal cord injury severely reduces the quality of life (Ma et al. 2019). Considering the complications that occur after spinal cord injury and the limited ability of the nerve tissue to repair itself, it is necessary to provide a solution that has the least complications and helps the patient recover. In this study, we evaluate the pathophysiology of spinal cord injury and current and future therapies including pharmaceutical therapies, stem cell therapy, and extracellular vesicle therapy.

Pathophysiology of SCI

Traumatic spinal cord injuries cause pathological including cell death and axonal changes degeneration, ultimately leading to loss of sensory and motor function. (Parr et al. 2008). Following spinal cord injury, the primary and secondary phases of the disease occur. Pressure, contusion, tensile, or tearing of the spinal cord during the lesion leads to further mechanical destruction of the nervous tissue and also bleeding in the spinal cord, which is an irreversible process. Axon damage and disruption in the cell membrane cause the activation of a cascade of cellular and molecular changes and messenger paths that initiate the second phase of spinal injuries (Kang et al, 2018, Alizadeh et al, 2019). The formation of free radicals, the release of proinflammatory cytokines (TNF- α , IL-1b, and IL-6)



^{*}Corresponding author's e-mail address:

<u>h-kazemi@um.ac.ir</u>

and inflammatory cells (Monocytes, Neutrophils, and lymphocytes), and the oxidative stress are a complication of secondary injuries that causes the and myelin death of neurons, glial cells, degeneration. Following gliosis, astrocytes proliferate and take the place of damaged neurons, resulting in the appearance of a compressed glial scar that acts as a physical and chemical barrier and prevents axon regeneration. Also, following cavitation of the spinal cord, neurons, and glial cells are progressively reduced and destroyed (Tzekou and Fehlings, 2014; Tator and Fehlings, 1991). So, inhibition of inflammation and gliosis are treatment goals in SCI.

Pharmacological therapies

Corticosteroids

The use of methylprednisolone as the drug of choice in the treatment of spinal cord injury is still controversial because of its side effects, including gastrointestinal hyperglycemia, bleeding, and wound infection (Bracken et al, 1984; Galandiuk et al, 1993; Shepard and Bracken, 1994; Bracken et al, 1997; Pointillart et al, 2000; Matsumoto et al, 2001; Kwon et al, 2004; Evaniew et al, 2015). However, administering Methylprednisolone Sodium Succinate (MPSS) in the first 8 hours after the injury prevents secondary damage by reducing free radicals and oxidative stress, improving blood flow and modulating the immune response, improving motor function and preserving the structure of the spinal cord (Hall and Braughler, 1982; Bracken et al, 1990; Hurlbert et al, 2013).

Cyclooxygenase inhibitors

Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) such as ibuprofen and meclofenamate reduces edema and inflammation of the spinal cord and cause axon sprouting and improvement of motor function with minimal side effects (Domon et al, 2018; Chaves et al, 2018; Lambrechts and Cook, 2021). But the comparison that was made in our previous study between methylprednisolone sodium succinate (MPSS) and meloxicam (COX-2 inhibitor), meloxicam had less motor function improvement compared to MPSS (Khodabakhshi Rad et al, 2022).

Minocycline

This drug crosses the blood-brain barrier and has neuroprotective, anti-inflammatory, antioxidant, and anti-apoptotic properties (Casha et al, 2012; Shultz and Zhong, 2017; Donovan and Kirshblum, 2018;

Zhang et al, 2021).

Chondroitinase ABC enzyme

Chondroitinase ABC (ChABC) with inhibition of Chondroitin sulfate proteoglycans (CSPG) as a regeneration failure agent (Fry et al, 2010; Kim et al, 2013; Anjum et al, 2020; Zhang et al, 2021), increases the expression of anti-inflammatory cytokines and decreases pro-inflammatory cytokines and regulates immunity, increases synaptic communication and improves motor performance (Bradbury et al, 2002; Didangelos et al, 2014).

Neuroimmunophilin ligands

Neuroimmunophilin ligands (Cyclosporin A and FK-506) increase neuron regeneration in the central and peripheral nervous system, and also have neuroprotective properties in ischemia, neurodegenerative disorders, and trauma (Liu et al, 1991; Kang et al, 2008; Kawakami, 2013; Zhang et al, 2021).

Anti-CD11d Antibodies

Anti-CD11d Antibodies reduce the infiltration of neutrophils and macrophages at the site of spinal cord injury (Mabon et al, 2000; Saville et al, 2004), improve motor function, and reduce pain and histopathological damage (Gris et al, 2004; Hurtado et al, 2012).

T cell targeting therapies (CXCL10 antagonisms and Fingolimod)

CXCL10 antagonisms, by reducing the infiltration of T cells in the lesion site, reduce neuronal death and increase axon regeneration (Ghirnikaret al, 2001; Glaser et al, 2006; Gonzalez et al, 2007). Fingolimod reduces the number of circulating local lymphocytes and and its systemic administration reduces reactive gliosis, cell death, and neuronal inflammation, and ultimately improves motor and bladder function (Chiba, 2005: Lee et al. 2009; Norimatsu et al, 2012; Wang et al, 2015; Healy et al, 2016; Putatunda et al, 2018).

Autologous macrophage therapy

It cleans tissue debris in the injured area, modulates the immune system, and affects neurons, glial cells, and immune cells. Although they may affect healthy tissue and cause cell death and increase the size of the lesion and functional disorders, they are vital for the healing and regenerating of axons (Bomstein et al, 2003; Knoller et al, 2005). Hepatocyte growth factor (HGF)

HGF has neuroprotective effects, increases the regeneration of axons, oligodendrocytes, and the survival of neurons (Kitamura et al, 2007; Kitamura et al, 2011; Zhang et al, 2021), and helps to improve motor function by reducing the activity of astrocytes, glial scar, infiltration of leukocytes, and inflammation (Kitamura et al, 2011).

Fibroblast growth factors (FGF)

The application of FGF in the form of fibrin glue with acidic FGF has been shown in studies to have neuroprotective and immunomodulatory effects and to increase the level of interleukins 4, 10 and 13 (Kuo et al, 2011; Garcia et al, 2016). It reduces the production of free radicals and increases the survival and growth of different types of neurons (Koshinaga et al, 1993; Teng et al, 1999; Clarke et al, 2001; Rabchevsky et al, 2011; Zhou et al, 2018).

Among other drug treatments that are effective in healing spinal cord injury and have been presented various studies in are Monosialotetrahexosylganglioside (GM-1), Anti-Nogo-A antibodies (ATI-355), VX-210 (Cethrin), B-Cell depletion therapies, Neurotrophic factors, Granulocyte colony-stimulating factor, Vitamin E, Selenium, Dimethyl sulfoxide, Naloxone antagonist drug, Thyrotropin releasing hormone and etc (Anderson et al, 1985; Sterner and Sterner, 2022). Today, old drug treatments have given way to emerging therapeutic approaches (stem cell and extracellular vesicles therapy) in neuron protection in spinal cord injury of animal modeling, which has the least possible side effects and can be supplied systemically or locally.

Stem cell therapy for SCI

Different types of stem cells have been investigated in the healing of spinal cord injury. Some of these cells include Schwann cells, olfactory sheath cells, mesenchymal stem cells, neural progenitor cells, oligodendrocyte progenitor cells, and various induced pluripotent stem cells (Badner et al, 2017; Srivastava et al, 2021). MSCs are pluripotent stem cells that reside in mature tissues such as adipose tissue, bone marrow, dental pulp, Wharton's jelly, and endometrium. MSCs can differentiate into a variety of cells, including adipocytes, osteoblasts, and cartilage tissue cells (Viswanathan and Read, 2013). Because of their high biological safety, immunomodulatory

properties, and their ability to synthesize angiogenic and neurotrophic factors, MSCs have been proposed as a promising method to stimulate the regeneration of neurons in spinal cord injury (Mukhamedshina et al, 2019). MSCs exert a strong regulatory effect on the immune system by stimulating the secretion and production of a variety of inflammatory and noninflammatory cytokines. Therefore, in reducing immune rejection, the treatment of inflammatory conditions and autoimmune diseases has been Studies considered. have shown that the immunomodulatory effect of MSCs is exerted through cell-cell contact and secretion of soluble factors. IDO1, TGF- β , PGE-2, and HGF are among the important mediators in the process of immune modulation by MSCs (Zhou et al, 2020). In addition, their multiple potentials and unique ability for selfrenewal make them a suitable option for cell-based therapies. MSCs have a high ability in proliferation, differentiation, and regeneration of damaged tissue, remyelination, axon regeneration, inhibition of apoptosis, inhibition of inflammation, and change of macrophage phenotype (M1 to M2). Due to the immune-modulating feature, these cells can be transferred to damaged tissues after transplantation and at the same time guarantee cellular immunity and phenotypic stability (Hematti, 2008; Bagher et al, 2015; Bagher et al, 2016; Giacoppo et al, 2017; Ezquer et al, 2017; Berebichez-Fridman and Montero-Olvera, 2018; Fu et al, 2019; Pool et al, 2019).

Although MSCs have valuable effects in improving the condition of various diseases, their clinical use is limited by the possibility of malignancy, potential risk of tumor formation, profibrogenic ability, heterogeneity of MSC populations, entrapment in the lungs after infusion, poor grafting efficiency, low immunogenicity, short half-life and low production of antibodies after repeated administration is encountered. Also, the clinical use and creation of MSCs bank, like other types of cells, require strict conditions for storage and maintenance (Lee, 2018; Musiał-Wysocka et al, 2019; Navajas et al, 2019). Considering the limitations of MSCs, a new solution has been presented that does not have the mentioned limitations for clinical applications, and that is the use of extracellular vesicles.

Extracellular vesicle therapy

Extracellular vesicles, which are secreted by

almost all types of cells, have a double-layer membrane, and for this reason, they have good stability and permeability. After stimulating receptors on the surface of target cells or entering target cells, EVs can regulate cell function and messaging (Villarroya-Beltri et al, 2014). EVs are rich in lipids, proteins, and nucleic acids such as lncRNAs and miRNAs. They are between 30-50000 nm in diameter (Chiang and Chen, 2019; O'Brien et al, 2020) and are divided into three categories: exosomes (30-150 nm), microvesicles (100-1000 nm) and apoptotic bodies (1000-5000 nm) (Chukhchin et al, 2020).

Extracellular vesicles derived from MSCs have mediators of the paracrine effects of MSCs, for which tumorigenic properties have not been reported so far (Lai et al, 2018; Nooshabadi et al, 2020). In addition, many of the concerns that have been raised about the viability and maintenance of cell function do not exist in EVs (Colao et al, 2018; Wu et al, 2019). Due to their very small size, EVs are not a threat to pulmonary embolism and can easily cross physiological barriers such as the blood-brain barrier and show strong neuroprotective effects following central nervous system injuries (Yin et al. 2019; Mendt et al, 2019). Various studies have shown that systemic or local administration (in a hydrogel bed) of EVs with their immunomodulatory and immunoregulatory properties, reduces inflammation, edema, neuronal degeneration, glial scar, oxidative stress, and pro-inflammatory cytokines and increases angiogenesis, axonal regeneration, anti-inflammation cytokines and ultimately improves motor function (Guo et al, 2019; Li et al, 2020; Jia et al, 2021). Considering the valuable features of exosomes, it may be as a cellfree therapeutic approach in the treatment of spinal cord injury. EVs can be extracted from all MSCs of different origins, and they play a significant role in the treatment of spinal cord injuries. Zhou et al, 2022 showed that bone MSC-Exos improves pericyte coverage, and promotes axonal regeneration, and motor function by reducing leakage of BBB and edema (Zhou et al, 2022). Kang and Guo, 2022 reported that human umbilical cord MSCs derived from Wharton's jelly, with reducing apoptosis and inflammatory agents, and promoting angiogenesis and axonal growth, inhibit glial scar, and promote neuronal recovery (Kang and Guo, 2022). Moreover, human epidural adipose tissue mesenchymal stem cell-derived exosomes (ADSC-Exos) and human menstrual blood-derived mesenchymal stem cells (MenSCs) can modulate the inflammatory response

and promote polarization macrophage and microglia (Sung et al, 2022; He et al, 2022). Also, dental pulp stem cells- EVs showed a potential motor performance improvement through immunomodulatory effects (Liu et al, 2022). The widespread use of EVs in the treatment of spinal cord injury has been investigated in many experimental studies and is ongoing (Zhung et al, 2023).

Discussion

Spinal cord injury has a complex pathophysiology. The secondary phase that occurs after the primary phase of damage causes neuronal apoptosis, inflammatory response, vascular changes, accumulation of free radicals, and activation of astrocytes and glial scar formation. Among these events, inflammation is one of the main factors. To prevent these consequences, a chain of harmful reactions developing around the lesion must be blocked. Therefore, early timing for neuron protection by drugs should be a basic strategy. SCI management is mainly to prevent progressive degeneration and neutralize secondary damage at the site of the injury to reduce pain and symptoms and restore motor function. So far, various drugs and compounds have been prescribed at different times after the injury in acute SCI. The basis of these treatments should be to reduce the penetration of inflammatory cells, the release of pro-inflammatory cytokines, and free radicals, and by modulating the immune system, increase the occurrence of the antiinflammatory phenotype of macrophages and the release of anti-inflammatory cytokines, so as to ultimately reduce the neuronal damage.

In recent decades, many immunomodulatory pharmaceuticals have been offered to improve motor function after SCI. Some of these drugs, such as NSAID/cyclooxygenase inhibitor, ChABC, and GCSF therapy after SCI, do not have harmful effects, but some drugs, such as methylprednisolone and GM-1, have minimal effects or have controversial side effects. However, drug treatments may not be able to cover all the inflammatory factors in the lesion site, and the combined and simultaneous use of stem cell therapies/extracellular vesicle therapies (systemic or local injection) can provide effective recovery for patients with spinal cord injury (Sterner and Sterner 2022; Zhang et al, 2023).

Conclusion

In this study, a number of medicinal treatments were presented and their number will be increased in

the future. Today, various studies have shown that the use of different mesenchymal stem cells that have the ability to differentiate into nerve cells and due to important paracrine features they have can help to treat spinal cord injury faster. Due to the fact that these cells do not have the ability to cross the blood and brain barrier, the extraction of extracellular vesicles from mesenchymal stem cells along with having paracrine characteristics can solve this problem. Many studies in the field of spinal cord injury treatment with extracellular vesicles have been reported in laboratory models with satisfactory results. It is hoped that one day we will see the application of these nanovesicles in the treatment of spinal cord injuries in humans.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Funding

The approval number of this project in the meeting of the Research Council of the Faculty of Veterinary Medicine of the Ferdowsi University of Mashhad is "57497".

References

Alizadeh, A., Dyck, S.M. and Karimi-Abdolrezaee, S., 2019. Traumatic spinal cord injury: an overview of pathophysiology, models and acute injury mechanisms. Frontiers in neurology, 10:282.

Anderson, D.K., Demediuk, P., Saunders, R.D., Dugan, L.L., Means, E.D. and Horrocks, L.A. (1985). Spinal cord injury and protection. Annals of emergency medicine, 14(8): 816-821.

Anjum, A., Yazid, M.D.I., Fauzi Daud, M., Idris, J., Ng, A.M.H., Selvi Naicker, A., Ismail, O.H.R., Athi Kumar, R.K. and Lokanathan, Y. (2020). Spinal cord injury: pathophysiology, multimolecular interactions, and underlying recovery mechanisms. International journal of molecular sciences, 21(20): 7533.

Badner, A., Siddiqui, A.M. and Fehlings, M.G. (2017). Spinal cord injuries: how could cell therapy help?. Expert opinion on biological therapy, 17(5): 529-541.

Bagher, Z., Azami, M., Ebrahimi-Barough, S., Mirzadeh, H., Solouk, A., Soleimani, M., Ai, J., Nourani, M.R. and Joghataei, M.T. (2016). Differentiation of Wharton's jelly-derived mesenchymal stem cells into motor neuron-like cells on three-dimensional collagen-grafted nanofibers. Molecular neurobiology, 53: 2397-2408.

Bagher, Z., Ebrahimi-Barough, S., Azami, M., Mirzadeh, H., Soleimani, M., Ai, J., Nourani, M.R. and Joghataei, M.T. (2015). Induction of human umbilical Wharton's jelly-derived mesenchymal stem cells toward motor neuron-like cells. In Vitro Cellular & Developmental Biology-Animal, 51: 987-994.

Berebichez-Fridman, R. and Montero-Olvera, P.R. (2018). Sources and clinical applications of mesenchymal stem cells: state-of-the-art review. Sultan Qaboos University Medical Journal, 18(3): e264.

Bomstein, Y., Marder, J.B., Vitner, K., Smirnov, I., Lisaey, G., Butovsky, O., Fulga, V. and Yoles, E. (2003). Features of skin-coincubated macrophages that promote recovery from spinal cord injury. Journal of neuroimmunology, 142(1-2): 10-16.

Bracken, M.B., Collins, W.F., Freeman, D.F., Shepard, M.J., Wagner, F.W., Silten, R.M., Hellenbrand, K.G., Ransohoff, J., Hunt, W.E., Perot, P.L. and Grossman, R.G. (1984). Efficacy of methylprednisolone in acute spinal cord injury. Jama, 251(1): 45-52.

Bracken, M.B., Shepard, M.J., Collins, W.F., Holford, T.R., Young, W., Baskin, D.S., Eisenberg, H.M., Flamm, E., Leo-Summers, L., Maroon, J. and Marshall, L.F. (1990). A randomized, controlled trial of methylprednisolone or naloxone in the treatment of acute spinal-cord injury: results of the Second National Acute Spinal Cord Injury Study. New England Journal of Medicine, 322(20): 1405-1411.

Bracken, M.B., Shepard, M.J., Holford, T.R., Leo-Summers, L., Aldrich, E.F., Fazl, M., Fehlings, M., Herr, D.L., Hitchon, P.W., Marshall, L.F. and Nockels, R.P. (1997). Administration of methylprednisolone for 24 or 48 hours or tirilazad mesylate for 48 hours in the treatment of acute spinal cord injury: results of the third national acute spinal cord injury randomized controlled trial. Jama, 277(20): 1597-1604.

Bradbury, E.J., Moon, L.D., Popat, R.J., King, V.R., Bennett, G.S., Patel, P.N., Fawcett, J.W. and McMahon, S.B. (2002). Chondroitinase ABC promotes functional recovery after spinal cord injury. Nature, 416(6881): 636-640.

Casha, S., Zygun, D., McGowan, M.D., Bains, I., Yong, V.W. and John Hurlbert, R. (2012). Results of a phase II placebo-controlled randomized trial of minocycline in acute spinal cord injury. Brain, 135(4): 1224-1236.

Chaves, R.H.D.F., Souza, C.C.D., Furlaneto, I.P., Teixeira, R.K.C., Oliveira, C.P.D., Rodrigues, E.D.M., Santos, D.A.S.D., Silva, R.C., Penha, N.E.A.D. and Lima, A.R.D. (2018). Influence of tramadol on functional recovery of acute spinal cord injury in rats. Acta Cirúrgica Brasileira, 33: 1087-1094.

Chiang, C.Y. and Chen, C. (2019). Toward characterizing extracellular vesicles at a single-particle level. Journal of biomedical science, 26(1): 1-10.

Chiba, K. (2005). FTY720, a new class of immunomodulator, inhibits lymphocyte egress from secondary lymphoid tissues and thymus by agonistic activity at sphingosine 1-phosphate receptors. Pharmacology & therapeutics, 108(3): 308-319.

Chukhchin, D.G., Bolotova, K., Sinelnikov, I., Churilov, D. and Novozhilov, E. (2020). Exosomes in the phloem and xylem of woody plants. Planta, 251: 1-14.

Clarke, W.E., Berry, M., Smith, C., Kent, A. and Logan, A. (2001). Coordination of fibroblast growth factor receptor 1 (FGFR1) and fibroblast growth factor-2 (FGF-2) trafficking to nuclei of reactive astrocytes around cerebral lesions in adult rats. Molecular and Cellular Neuroscience, 17(1): 17-30.

Colao, I.L., Corteling, R., Bracewell, D. and Wall, I. (2018). Manufacturing exosomes: a promising therapeutic platform. Trends in molecular medicine, 24(3): 242-256.

Didangelos, A., Iberl, M., Vinsland, E., Bartus, K. and Bradbury, E.J., 2014. Regulation of IL-10 by chondroitinase ABC promotes a distinct immune response following spinal cord injury. Journal of Neuroscience, 34(49): 16424-16432.

Domon, Y., Kitano, Y. and Makino, M. (2018). Analgesic effects of the novel $\alpha 2\delta$ ligand mirogabalin in a rat model of spinal cord injury. Die Pharmazie-An International Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences, 73(11): 659-661.

Donovan, J. and Kirshblum, S. (2018). Clinical trials in traumatic spinal cord injury. Neurotherapeutics, 15(3): 654-668.

Evaniew, N., Noonan, V.K., Fallah, N., Kwon, B.K., Rivers, C.S., Ahn, H., Bailey, C.S., Christie, S.D., Fourney, D.R., Hurlbert, R.J. and Linassi, A.G. (2015). Methylprednisolone for the treatment of patients with acute spinal cord injuries: a propensity score-matched cohort study from a Canadian multi-center spinal cord injury registry. Journal of neurotrauma, 32(21): 1674-1683.

Ezquer, F.E., Ezquer, M.E., Vicencio, J.M. and Calligaris, S.D. (2017). Two complementary strategies to improve cell engraftment in mesenchymal stem cell-based therapy: Increasing transplanted cell resistance and increasing tissue receptivity. Cell adhesion & migration, 11(1): 110-119.

Feigin, V.L., Nichols, E., Alam, T., Bannick, M.S., Beghi, E., Blake, N., Culpepper, W.J., Dorsey, E.R., Elbaz, A., Ellenbogen, R.G. and Fisher, J.L. (2019). Global, regional, and national burden of neurological disorders, 1990–2016: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2016. The Lancet Neurology, 18(5): 459-480.

Fry, E.J., Chagnon, M.J., López-Vales, R., Tremblay, M.L. and David, S. (2010). Corticospinal tract regeneration after spinal cord injury in receptor protein tyrosine phosphatase sigma deficient mice. Glia, 58(4): 423-433.

Fu, X., Liu, G., Halim, A., Ju, Y., Luo, Q. and Song, G. (2019). Mesenchymal stem cell migration and tissue repair. Cells, 8(8): 784.

Galandiuk, S., Raque, G., Appel, S. and Polk Jr, H.C. (1993). The two-edged sword of large-dose steroids for spinal cord trauma. Annals of surgery, 218(4): 419.

Garcia, E., Aguilar-Cevallos, J., Silva-Garcia, R. and Ibarra, A. (2016). Cytokine and growth factor activation in vivo and in vitro after spinal cord injury. Mediators of inflammation, 2016.

Ghirnikar, R.S., Lee, Y.L. and Eng, L.F. (2001). Chemokine antagonist infusion promotes axonal sparing after spinal cord contusion injury in rat. Journal of neuroscience research, 64(6): 582-589.

Giacoppo, S., Bramanti, P. and Mazzon, E. (2017). The transplantation of mesenchymal stem cells derived from unconventional sources: an innovative approach to multiple sclerosis therapy. Archivum immunologiae et therapiae experimentalis, 65: 363-379.

Glaser, J., Gonzalez, R., Sadr, E. and Keirstead, H.S. (2006). Neutralization of the chemokine CXCL10 reduces apoptosis and increases axon sprouting after

spinal cord injury. Journal of neuroscience research, 84(4): 724-734.

Gonzalez, R., Hickey, M.J., Espinosa, J.M., Nistor, G., Lane, T.E. and Keirstead, H.S. (2007). Therapeutic neutralization of CXCL10 decreases secondary degeneration and functional deficit after spinal cord injury in mice. Regenerative medicine. 2: 771-783.

Gris, D., Marsh, D.R., Oatway, M.A., Chen, Y., Hamilton, E.F., Dekaban, G.A. and Weaver, L.C. (2004). Transient blockade of the CD11d/CD18 integrin reduces secondary damage after spinal cord injury, improving sensory, autonomic, and motor function. Journal of Neuroscience, 24(16): 4043-4051.

Guo, S., Perets, N., Betzer, O., Ben-Shaul, S., Sheinin, A., Michaelevski, I., Popovtzer, R., Offen, D. and Levenberg, S. (2019). Intranasal delivery of mesenchymal stem cell derived exosomes loaded with phosphatase and tensin homolog siRNA repairs complete spinal cord injury. ACS nano, 13(9): 10015-10028.

Hall, E.D. and Braughler, J.M. (1982). Glucocorticoid mechanisms in acute spinal cord injury: a review and therapeutic rationale. Surgical neurology, 18(5): 320-327.

He, W., Zhang, X., Li, X., Ju, D., Mao, T., Lu, Y., Gu, Y., Qi, L., Wang, Q., Wu, Q. and Dong, C. (2022). A decellularized spinal cord extracellular matrix-gel/GelMA hydrogel three-dimensional composite scaffold promotes recovery from spinal cord injury via synergism with human menstrual blood-derived stem cells. Journal of Materials Chemistry B, 10(30): 5753-5764.

Hematti, P. (2008). Role of mesenchymal stromal cells in solid organ transplantation. Transplantation reviews, 22(4): 262-273.

Hurlbert, R.J., Hadley, M.N., Walters, B.C., Aarabi, B., Dhall, S.S., Gelb, D.E., Rozzelle, C.J., Ryken, T.C. and Theodore, N. (2013). Pharmacological therapy for acute spinal cord injury. Neurosurgery, 72(suppl_3): 93-105.

Hurtado, A., Marcillo, A., Frydel, B., Bunge, M.B., Bramlett, H.M. and Dietrich, W.D. (2012). Anti-CD11d monoclonal antibody treatment for rat spinal cord compression injury. Experimental neurology, 233(2): 606-611.

Jia, Y., Lu, T., Chen, Q., Pu, X., Ji, L., Yang, J. and Luo, C. (2021). Exosomes secreted from sonic

hedgehog-modified bone mesenchymal stem cells facilitate the repair of rat spinal cord injuries. Acta Neurochirurgica, 163: 2297-2306.

Kang, Y., Ding, H., Zhou, H., Wei, Z., Liu, L., Pan, D. and Feng, S. (2018). Epidemiology of worldwide spinal cord injury: a literature review. Journal of Neurorestoratology, 6(1): 3.

Kang, C.B., Hong, Y., Dhe-Paganon, S. and Yoon, H.S. (2008). FKBP family proteins: immunophilins with versatile biological functions. Neurosignals, 16(4): 318-325.

Kang, J. and Guo, Y. (2022). Human umbilical cord mesenchymal stem cells derived exosomes promote neurological function recovery in a rat spinal cord injury model. Neurochemical Research, 47(6): 1532-1540.

Kawakami, M. (2013). Molecular dissection of cyclosporin a's neuroprotective effect reveals potential therapeutics for ischemic brain injury. Brain sciences, 3(3): 1325-1356.

Khodabakhshi Rad, A., Kazemi Mehrjerdi, H., Pedram, M.S., Azizzadeh, M. and Amanollahi, S. (2022). Clinical Evaluation of the effect of Methylprednisolone Sodium Succinate and Meloxicam in Experimental Acute Spinal Cord Injury. Iranian Journal of Veterinary Medicine.

Kim, J.W., Ha, K.Y., Molon, J.N. and Kim, Y.H. (2013). Bone marrow–derived mesenchymal stem cell transplantation for chronic spinal cord injury in rats: comparative study between intralesional and intravenous transplantation. Spine, 38(17): E1065-E1074.

Kitamura, K., Iwanami, A., Nakamura, M., Yamane, J., Watanabe, K., Suzuki, Y., Miyazawa, D., Shibata, S., Funakoshi, H., Miyatake, S. and Coffin, R.S. (2007). Hepatocyte growth factor promotes endogenous repair and functional recovery after spinal cord injury. Journal of neuroscience research, 85(11): 2332-2342.

Kitamura, K., Fujiyoshi, K., Yamane, J.I., Toyota, F., Hikishima, K., Nomura, T., Funakoshi, H., Nakamura, T., Aoki, M., Toyama, Y. and Okano, H. (2011). Human hepatocyte growth factor promotes functional recovery in primates after spinal cord injury. PloS one, 6(11): e27706.

Koshinaga, M., Sanon, H.R. and Whittemore, S.R. (1993). Altered acidic and basic fibroblast growth factor expression following spinal cord injury. Experimental neurology, 120(1):32-48.

Knoller, N., Auerbach, G., Fulga, V., Zelig, G., Attias, J., Bakimer, R., Marder, J.B., Yoles, E., Belkin, M., Schwartz, M. and Hadani, M. (2005). Clinical experience using incubated autologous macrophages as a treatment for complete spinal cord injury: phase I study results. Journal of Neurosurgery: Spine, 3(3): 173-181.

Kuo, H.S., Tsai, M.J., Huang, M.C., Chiu, C.W., Tsai, C.Y., Lee, M.J., Huang, W.C., Lin, Y.L., Kuo, W.C. and Cheng, H. (2011). Acid fibroblast growth factor and peripheral nerve grafts regulate Th2 cytokine expression, macrophage activation, polyamine synthesis, and neurotrophin expression in transected rat spinal cords. Journal of Neuroscience, 31(11): 4137-4147.

Kuo, H.S., Tsai, M.J., Huang, M.C., Chiu, C.W., Tsai, C.Y., Lee, M.J., Huang, W.C., Lin, Y.L., Kuo, W.C. and Cheng, H. (2011). Acid fibroblast growth factor and peripheral nerve grafts regulate Th2 cytokine expression, macrophage activation, polyamine synthesis, and neurotrophin expression in transected rat spinal cords. Journal of Neuroscience, 31(11): 4137-4147.

Kwon, B.K., Tetzlaff, W., Grauer, J.N., Beiner, J. and Vaccaro, A.R. (2004). Pathophysiology and pharmacologic treatment of acute spinal cord injury. The spine journal, 4(4): 451-464.

75. Lai, P., Chen, X., Guo, L., Wang, Y., Liu, X., Liu, Y., Zhou, T., Huang, T., Geng, S., Luo, C. and Huang, X. (2018). A potent immunomodulatory role of exosomes derived from mesenchymal stromal cells in preventing cGVHD. Journal of hematology & oncology, 11(1): 1-15.

Lambrechts, M.J. and Cook, J.L. (2021). Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs and their neuroprotective role after an acute spinal cord injury: a systematic review of animal models. Global Spine Journal, 11(3): 365-377.

Lee, K.D., Chow, W.N., Sato-Bigbee, C., Graf, M.R., Graham, R.S., Colello, R.J., Young, H.F. and Mathern, B.E. (2009). FTY720 reduces inflammation and promotes functional recovery after spinal cord injury. Journal of neurotrauma, 26(12): 2335-2344.

Lee, S.H. (2018). The advantages and limitations of mesenchymal stem cells in clinical application for treating human diseases. Osteoporosis and sarcopenia, 4(4): 150.

Li, L., Zhang, Y., Mu, J., Chen, J., Zhang, C., Cao, H. and Gao, J. (2020). Transplantation of human mesenchymal stem-cell-derived exosomes immobilized in an adhesive hydrogel for effective treatment of spinal cord injury. Nano letters, 20(6): 4298-4305.

Liu, J., Farmer Jr, J.D., Lane, W.S., Friedman, J., Weissman, I. and Schreiber, S.L. (1991). Calcineurin is a common target of cyclophilincyclosporin A and FKBP-FK506 complexes. Cell, 66(4): 807-815.

Liu, C., Hu, F., Jiao, G., Guo, Y., Zhou, P., Zhang, Y., Zhang, Z., Yi, J., You, Y., Li, Z. and Wang, H. (2022). Dental pulp stem cell-derived exosomes suppress M1 macrophage polarization through the ROS-MAPK-NF κ B P65 signaling pathway after spinal cord injury. Journal of Nanobiotechnology, 20(1): 1-19.

Ma, Z., Lu, Y., Yang, Y., Wang, J. and Kang, X. (2019). Research progress and prospects of tissue engineering scaffolds for spinal cord injury repair and protection. Regenerative Medicine, 14(9): 887-898.

Mabon, P.J., Weaver, L.C. and Dekaban, G.A. (2000). Inhibition of monocyte/macrophage migration to a spinal cord injury site by an antibody to the integrin αD : a potential new anti-inflammatory treatment. Experimental neurology, 166(1): 52-64.

Matsumoto, T., Tamaki, T., Kawakami, M., Yoshida, M., Ando, M. and Yamada, H. (2001). Early complications of high-dose methylprednisolone sodium succinate treatment in the follow-up of acute cervical spinal cord injury. Spine, 26: 426-430.

Mendt, M., Rezvani, K. and Shpall, E. (2019). Mesenchymal stem cell-derived exosomes for clinical use. Bone marrow transplantation, 54(Suppl 2): 789-792.

M Healy, L. and P Antel, J. (2016). Sphingosine-1phosphate receptors in the central nervous and immune systems. Current drug targets, 17(16): 1841-1850.

Musiał-Wysocka, A., Kot, M. and Majka, M. (2019). The pros and cons of mesenchymal stem cell-based therapies. Cell transplantation, 28(7): 801-812.

Navajas, R., Corrales, F.J. and Paradela, A. (2019). Serum exosome isolation by size-exclusion chromatography for the discovery and validation of preeclampsia-associated biomarkers. Proteomics for Biomarker Discovery: Methods and Protocols: 39-50.

Norimatsu, Y., Ohmori, T., Kimura, A., Madoiwa, S., Mimuro, J., Seichi, A., Yatomi, Y., Hoshino, Y. and Sakata, Y. (2012). FTY720 improves functional recovery after spinal cord injury by primarily nonimmunomodulatory mechanisms. The American journal of pathology, 180(4): 1625-1635.

Nooshabadi, V.T., Khanmohamadi, M., Valipour, E., Mahdipour, S., Salati, A., Malekshahi, Z.V., Shafei, S., Amini, E., Farzamfar, S. and Ai, J. (2020). Impact of exosome-loaded chitosan hydrogel in wound repair and layered dermal reconstitution in mice animal model. Journal of Biomedical Materials Research Part A, 108(11): 2138-2149.

O'Brien, K., Breyne, K., Ughetto, S., Laurent, L.C. and Breakefield, X.O. (2020). RNA delivery by extracellular vesicles in mammalian cells and its applications. Nature reviews Molecular cell biology, 21(10): 585-606.

Parr, A.M., Kulbatski, I., Zahir, T., Wang, X., Yue, C., Keating, A. and Tator, C.H. (2008). Transplanted adult spinal cord–derived neural stem/progenitor cells promote early functional recovery after rat spinal cord injury. Neuroscience, 155(3): 760-770.

Pointillart, V., Petitjean, M.E., Wiart, L., Vital, J.M., Lassié, P., Thicoipé, M. and Dabadie, P. (2000). Pharmacological therapy of spinal cord injury during the acute phase. Spinal cord, 38(2): 71-76.

Pool, M., Leuvenink, H. and Moers, C. (2019). Reparative and Regenerative Effects of Mesenchymal Stromal Cells—Promising Potential for Kidney Transplantation?. International Journal of Molecular Sciences, 20(18): 4614.

Rabchevsky, A.G., Patel, S.P. and Springer, J.E. (2011). Pharmacological interventions for spinal cord injury: where do we stand? How might we step forward?. Pharmacology & therapeutics, 132(1): 15-29.

Saville, L.R., Pospisil, C.H., Mawhinney, L.A., Bao, F., Simedrea, F.C., Peters, A.A., O'Connell, P.J., Weaver, L.C. and Dekaban, G.A. (2004). A monoclonal antibody to CD11d reduces the inflammatory infiltrate into the injured spinal cord: a potential neuroprotective treatment. Journal of neuroimmunology, 156(1-2): 42-57.

Shepard, M.J. and Bracken, M.B. (1994). The effect of methylprednisolone, naloxone, and spinal cord

trauma on four liver enzymes: observations from NASCIS 2. Spinal Cord, 32(4): 236-245.

Shultz, R.B. and Zhong, Y. (2017). Minocycline targets multiple secondary injury mechanisms in traumatic spinal cord injury. Neural regeneration research, 12(5): 702-713.

Sterner, R.C. and Sterner, R.M. (2022). Immune response following traumatic spinal cord injury: Pathophysiology and therapies. Frontiers in Immunology, 13.

Sung, S.E., Seo, M.S., Kim, Y.I., Kang, K.K., Choi, J.H., Lee, S., Sung, M., Yim, S.G., Lim, J.H., Seok, H.G. and Yang, S.Y. (2022). Human Epidural AD–MSC Exosomes Improve Function Recovery after Spinal Cord Injury in Rats. Biomedicines, 10(3): 678.

Tator, C.H. and Fehlings, M.G. (1991). Review of the secondary injury theory of acute spinal cord trauma with emphasis on vascular mechanisms. Journal of neurosurgery, 75(1):15-26.

Teng, Y.D., Mocchetti, I., Taveira-DaSilva, A.M., Gillis, R.A. and Wrathall, J.R. (1999). Basic fibroblast growth factor increases long-term survival of spinal motor neurons and improves respiratory function after experimental spinal cord injury. Journal of Neuroscience, 19(16): 7037-7047.

Tzekou, A. and Fehlings, M.G. (2014). Treatment of spinal cord injury with intravenous immunoglobulin G: preliminary evidence and future perspectives. Journal of clinical immunology, 34: 132-138.

Villarroya-Beltri, C., Baixauli, F., Gutiérrez-Vázquez, C., Sánchez-Madrid, F. and Mittelbrunn, M. (2014). Sorting it out: regulation of exosome loading. In Seminars in cancer biology. 28: 3-13. Academic Press.

Viswanathan, S. and Read, E.J. (2013). Bench-tobedside development of MSC therapies: A multidisciplinary approach. Mesenchymal Stromal Cells: Biology and Clinical Applications. 279-315.

Wang, J., Wang, J., Lu, P., Cai, Y., Wang, Y., Hong, L., Ren, H., Heng, B.C., Liu, H., Zhou, J. and Ouyang, H. (2015). Local delivery of FTY720 in PCL membrane improves SCI functional recovery by reducing reactive astrogliosis. Biomaterials, 62:76-87.

Wu, R., Gao, W., Yao, K. and Ge, J. (2019). Roles of exosomes derived from immune cells in

cardiovascular diseases. Frontiers in Immunology, 10: 648.

Yılmaz, T. and Kaptanoğlu, E. (2015). Current and future medical therapeutic strategies for the functional repair of spinal cord injury. World journal of orthopedics, 6(1): 42.

Yin, K., Wang, S. and Zhao, R.C. (2019). Exosomes from mesenchymal stem/stromal cells: a new therapeutic paradigm. Biomarker research, 7(1): 1-8.

Zhang, X., Jiang, W., Lu, Y., Mao, T., Gu, Y., Ju, D. and Dong, C. (2023). Exosomes combined with biomaterials in the treatment of spinal cord injury. Frontiers in Bioengineering and Biotechnology, 11.

Zhang, Y., Al Mamun, A., Yuan, Y., Lu, Q., Xiong, J., Yang, S., Wu, C., Wu, Y. and Wang, J. (2021). Acute spinal cord injury: Pathophysiology and pharmacological intervention. Molecular medicine reports, 23(6): 1-18.

Zhou, X., Liu, X., Liu, L., Han, C., Xie, Z., Liu, X., Xu, Y., Li, F., Bi, J. and Zheng, C. (2020). Transplantation of IFN- γ primed hUCMSCs significantly improved outcomes of experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis in a mouse model. Neurochemical Research, 45: 1510-1517.

Zhou, Y., Wen, L.L., Li, Y.F., Wu, K.M., Duan, R.R., Yao, Y.B., Jing, L.J., Gong, Z., Teng, J.F. and Jia, Y.J. (2022). Exosomes derived from bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells protect the injured spinal cord by inhibiting pericyte pyroptosis. Neural Regeneration Research, 17(1): 194.

Open Access Statement:

This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC-BY), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.